

A Supervisory Newsletter from the Employee Advisory Service (EAS)

置**RONTLINE** SUPERVISOR

The Employee Assistance Program to help you manage your most valuable resource - Employees

Call EAS: Olympia (360) 753-3260 Seattle (206) 281-6315 Spokane (509) 482-3686 Website: http://hr.dop.wa.gov/eas.html

I supervise a lot of employees. I don't have time to listen to all the interpersonal and relationship squabbles and problems they experience. Would it be better to refer complainers to the Employee Assistance Program (EAP), and not get involved in any of it?

Why could an agency not simply provide telephone numbers of crisis and counseling centers and other resources directly to employees instead of having an EAP?

I can't get past the idea that an employee would be referred to the EAP for violating our alcohol and drug policy instead

- Although the Employee Advisory Service (EAS- your EAP) is capable of helping employees troubled by on-the-job relationship problems and conflicts, it can't substitute for your role as a manager in the problemsolving process. When you proactively manage employees, you identify inappropriate and offensive behavior, intervene appropriately, reduce behavioral risk to the organization, and use your authority to influence standards of behavior needed to conform with performance expectations. When you don't get involved in managing employee conflict, you fail to use your authority constructively, and therefore problems grow worse. Employees have a strong need to interact with a supervisor concerning their on-the-job difficulties. This includes "venting" concerns and gaining assurances that the supervisor is a partner in resolving a problem. Only a supervisor can make decisions, offer these assurances, and make commitments that might be necessary to help resolve issues presented by employees. All of these things are outside the EAP's ability to influence.
- Although a company could provide telephone numbers of social service agencies to employees (a list is usually found in the Yellow Pages of a local telephone book under "Social Service Organizations"), this would not equate to the services offered by an employee assistance program. A key part of an EAP is its integration with the organization's policies and procedures for managing troubled employees who would not seek help on their own. These include employees with alcoholism, drug addictions, and other behavior or health problems. The longer an EAP exists, the more integrated it becomes, and the better its ability to help the organization. The organization, in turn, benefits from more loss prevention and reduced behavioral risk. Without an EAP, supervisors and the organization would naturally become entangled in employees' personal problems as they were before the emergence of EAPs. The result would be fewer employees helped.
- **If you have difficulty believing** alcoholism and drug addictions are illnesses, it can be hard to see the rationale in referring employees to treatment. Alcohol and drug addictions have been considered treatable diseases by respected national and international health organizations for nearly 50 years. Washington State and your agency support

of being fired. What underlies this consideration? The same would not be true of an employee who was, say, violent on the job.

employees seeking treatment and realize that recovery is possible and that retaining employees makes good business sense. Alcoholism and drug addiction can affect any agency, and no level of the workforce is immune. Business and industry have decades of experience in intervening with substance-abusing employees, and the argument for giving them the opportunity to recover so they can be retained has won decisively. Encouraging employees to accept help without fear of discrimination reduces an organization's risk and the likelihood that substance-abusing employees will keep their illness hidden while it grows worse.

My employee, whose job description calls for occasional weekend work, called from home and said he can't work on weekends because the EAP wants him to cut back for health reasons. I feel frustrated. How do I respond? Should I call the EAP?

Have your employee follow the guidelines established by your agency for notifying the supervisor about a medical need for modified duty. (This is your reasonable accommodation policy, which should be coordinated with your Human Resource Consultant.) You and your organization can then decide whether it can be accommodated. The EAP knows that an employee's availability for work is a critical issue. Although cutting back on overtime may be discussed, an EA professional won't typically make such a recommendation. This decision is deferred to a physician or other healthcare provider. With a release, the EAP can provide you with limited information necessary to clarify the circumstances of the employee's request. Only the employer decides what essential job functions can be modified or changed.

The EAP follows up with employees who use the program. Does this mean I can let the EAP worry about whether the employee is following through with its recommendations?

EAP follow-up is important to help employees remain committed to participating in recommendations given to them for treating and resolving personal problems. Follow-up after a supervisor EAP referral, however, is a two-part process with the supervisor having a key role in focusing on performance. Be sure to reinforce positive changes, but discuss deterioration in performance with the EAP. Waning compliance with EAP recommendations could precede a relapse or a return to problematic levels of performance; however, this is not always the case. Regardless of the level of follow-up conducted by the EAP, a report of your employee's renewed performance difficulties and sometimes a new referral will prompt the EA professional to take a closer look at the treatment or counseling plan to ensure appropriateness and employee participation. New or different issues could be discovered.

Visit EAS on our website at: http://hr.dop.wa.gov/eas.html

